

Relationship between Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) and Financial Performance

***Dr. Sushma Sharma**

Abstract

In order to achieve inclusive growth that contributes to the overall efficiency of various corporate houses operating in the most competitive global business environment, the current paper is an attempt to gather and review significant and carefully chosen literature that outlines the importance of strategy and its best practices on Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR). Numerous experts have studied the role of corporate social responsibility (CSR) in India's sustainable growth. The review part on inclusive growth discusses governmental mechanisms and processes for overseeing CSR-related activities across various societal sectors. The current paper discusses a large number of previous studies, most of which were carried out in India and a few more from around the world, and examines their logical connections to the idea that the development of corporate social responsibility (CSR) is essential to attaining economic and sustainable growth.

Keywords:

Corporate social responsibility (CSR), inclusive growth, business strategy, global environment

Introduction

During the 1990s, the idea of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) and its role in the equitable growth of society received a lot of support. As a technique to build brand trust and increase organizational value, businesses started to prepare for corporate social responsibility (CSR) and set aside a portion of their income for social development. At first, CSR gifts were flexible; businesses choose how much to spend based on internal plans. But over the years, business changes throughout the world have made corporate social responsibility (CSR) more and more necessary, and India was no exception. In order to examine how corporate CSR efforts support inclusive growth both internationally and especially in India, this study reviews important studies.

"A company's desire to work for the community's safety should be ingrained in its DNA. A person's feeling of social obligation is built upon by CSR. A company should actively join in CSR initiatives. - Ratan Tata.

In order to help senior management in making decisions and creating strategies, Aguilar (1967) carried out a full study of environmental scanning, which is the act of gathering data about a

Relationship between Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) and Financial Performance

Dr. Sushma Sharma

company's external environment and relationships. In their paper "A Strategic Posture toward Corporate Social Responsibility," Bowman and Haire (1975) offered a conceptual framework for understanding a business's social involvement using annual report statements as a social engagement index. Their data showed that business social responsibility is not detrimental to financial success and does not jeopardize investor profit margins.

In his study, Vance (1975) studied the link between percentage changes in share prices, company social responsibility, and business reputation indices. According to his study, CSR efforts have a short-term negative connection with share price changes and an inverse relationship with business profits. Ingram (1978) found a strong positive link between total excess profits and CSR reports.

Additional empirical study, like that performed by Kansal et al. (2013), examined the link between Indian enterprises' social responsibility declarations and their financial and non-financial corporate features. In his work "The Strategy Concept: Five Ps for Strategy," Mintzberg (1987) made a new addition to strategic management by saying that strategy may take many different forms, such as a plan, ploy, stance, viewpoint, or pattern, and should not be seen as a strictly linear process. In "Strategic Responses to Institutional Processes," Oliver (1991) listed five expected traits that affect an organization's strategic response to institutional forces, ranging from proactive manipulation to passive compliance: cause, audience, content, control, and context.

When taken as a whole, these seminal works provide the framework for comprehending corporate social responsibility (CSR) as a multifaceted and strategic strategy that not only affects financial performance and company reputation but also acts as a catalyst for social inclusion and sustainable development. As ethical duty is increasingly included into corporate governance frameworks, corporate social responsibility (CSR) has grown in the Indian setting as a crucial tool for matching company goals with societal well-being.

Objectives

1. To examine the role of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) strategies in promoting inclusive and sustainable growth among Indian and global corporate entities.
2. To analyze the institutional mechanisms, policies, and practices adopted by organizations for effective CSR implementation.
3. To evaluate the linkage between CSR initiatives and the overall strategic performance and competitiveness of business institutions.

Research Methodology

The present study is descriptive and analytical in nature, relying fully on secondary data sources. The data and information have been collected from previous research papers, journals, government reports, and institutional publications relevant to Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) and its link with financial success and inclusive growth.

A thorough literature review approach has been utilized to discover, evaluate, and interpret the

Relationship between Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) and Financial Performance

Dr. Sushma Sharma

available study relevant to CSR activities across various industries, both in India and abroad. The research includes evaluating conceptual frameworks and empirical studies that stress the development, methods, and effects of CSR activities.

The study comprises important research from 1975 to 2015, concentrating on the time when CSR began to gain importance as part of business strategy and governance. The selection of study was decided based on their relevance, methodological rigor, and addition to understanding CSR's effect on economic and social dimensions.

The study also uses a qualitative interpretive strategy, gathering insights from comparison reviews and case-based studies of companies that combine CSR into their business strategies. No primary data collection has been finished; the study relies exclusively on the interpretation of secondary data to prove the link between CSR, financial success, and inclusive growth.

Literature Review

The idea of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) began getting major traction throughout the 1990s, as firms increasingly understood their responsibility in adding to social welfare alongside profit growth. Over time, CSR went from being a volunteer charity activity to becoming a strategic component of company governance and sustainable growth.

Aguilar (1967) did one of the earliest in-depth studies on environmental scanning, which stressed getting knowledge about a company's external environment to improve strategic decision-making and corporate planning. Bowman and Haire (1975) expanded this knowledge by evaluating the strategy stance of companies toward CSR, showing that social involvement did not negatively affect investors' profit expectations. Similarly, Vance (1975) examined the link between CSR involvement, company image, and share price movements, finding an adverse short-term relationship between CSR and profitability. In contrast, Ingram (1978) found a positive link between CSR disclosures and financial success, showing that openness in social expenditure can boost investor trust. In the Indian context, Kansal et al. (2014) showed a significant link between financial and non-financial features of businesses and their CSR reports, showing that firm size and control structures impact social reporting practices. Mintzberg (1987) strengthened the theoretical framework of corporate strategy by characterizing strategy as a plan, ploy, attitude, viewpoint, and pattern, stressing its complicated nature in shaping CSR integration. Oliver (1991) offered a typology of strategic responses to institutional processes, showing how firms change their CSR strategies based on external impacts and institutional restrictions.

The World Business Council for Sustainable progress (1999) described CSR as a continual pledge by firms to add to economic progress while enhancing the quality of life for workers, their families, and the wider society. This definition set the groundwork for CSR as a plan for inclusive and sustainable growth.

In developing Asia, Lin (2004) highlighted inclusive growth methods, taking lessons from nations like Japan, Korea, and Singapore, and urging focus toward poverty reduction and income equality. In

Relationship between Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) and Financial Performance

Dr. Sushma Sharma

India, Kapoor and Sandhu (2005) studied the link between CSR and financial success, showing a favorable association between CSR activities and business profitability, especially in bigger companies. Gupta (2005) highlighted CSR's importance in steering Indian firms toward complete human development, giving cases from top Indian corporate houses.

Further contributions came from Frolick and Ariyachandra (2006), who showed how corporate performance management tools help firms link CSR goals with strategic performance measures. Orsato (2006) listed four environmental strategies—environmental cost leadership, eco-branding, beyond compliance leadership, and eco-efficiency—which companies might apply to incorporate sustainability into their competitive edge.

Rao (2007), referring Prime Minister Manmohan Singh's inclusive growth strategy, supported boosting investments in rural areas and agriculture to ensure socio-economic balance. Reports by KPMG and Assocham (2008) advocated that firms must behave properly beyond economic goals, adopting proactive CSR strategies based on scenario planning, such as the Royal Dutch Shell (2008) models of "scramble" and "blueprint" scenarios for sustainability.

In recent years, Kewalramani (2014) offered six reasons why CSR is vital for organizations, including customer involvement, cost savings, innovation, and staff attraction—asserting that real CSR activities produce brand loyalty and long-term profits. Burange, Karnik, and Ranadive (2014) highlighted India's inclusive growth problems during the 11th Five-Year Plan, noting execution shortcomings in pro-poor policies. Nidhi (2014) stressed that India's business sector has taken a more active approach on CSR than the government, helping bridging the gap between economic change and social effects.

Gemma Corrigan and Attilio Di Batisto (2015) advocated policy reforms to boost social inclusion and economic growth through improved taxes, entrepreneurship, infrastructure, and education systems. Das (2015) emphasized four important characteristics of inclusive growth—human resource development, full employment, labor quality, and social security—and stressed the necessity for comprehensive development frameworks. Finally, Dash and Mishra (2015) investigated CSR through the institutional theory lens, suggesting that multinational businesses function as institutional entrepreneurs, pushing CSR uniformity while permitting regional adaptability.

Collectively, these studies indicate that CSR plays a vital role in combining company strategy with social and economic success. While global viewpoints stress strategic alignment and innovation, Indian studies underscore CSR's role in creating equitable and sustainable growth, proving a direct correlation between responsible company conduct and long-term financial stability.

Conclusion

The thorough evaluation of existing literature shows that Corporate societal Responsibility (CSR) works as a strategic bridge between economic profitability and societal progress. Initially starting as a voluntary project based on ethical conduct, CSR has grown into a key business strategy for attaining sustainable and inclusive growth. The corpus of research frequently demonstrates that CSR efforts

Relationship between Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) and Financial Performance

Dr. Sushma Sharma

positively affect a company's financial success by improving brand image, stakeholder trust, and long-term competitiveness (Bowman & Haire, 1975; Kapoor & Sandhu, 2005).

From the studied works, it is clear that CSR's link with financial success is multifaceted and context-dependent. In wealthy countries, CSR is generally pushed by legal limitations and corporate governance rules, whereas in developing nations such as India, it works as a method for resolving socio-economic inequities and adding to national development goals. Studies such as those by Das (2015) and Nidhi (2014) have underlined CSR's role in allowing inclusive growth through job generation, human resource development, and social infrastructure improvement.

The literature also shows that planned integration of CSR into corporate governance leads to proven performance results, both in financial measures and in social welfare indicators. The World Business Council for Sustainable Development (1999) conceived CSR as a social task that must grow into a structured business commitment. Scholars such as Orsato (2006) and Kewalramani (2014) have stressed the competitive benefits gained via eco-efficient practices, innovation, and customer engagement—key aspects for maintaining corporate relevance in the 21st century.

Furthermore, CSR's success rests heavily on institutional processes, openness in reporting, and strategy alignment with key business objectives. The study shows that corporations adopting proactive CSR practices not only achieve greater financial stability but also add substantially to social fairness and environmental preservation. As seen in the works of Frolick and Ariyachandra (2006) and Royal Dutch Shell (2008), linking CSR with performance management systems and long-term planning supports sustainable business models.

In conclusion, CSR is an integrated method to business sustainability, uniting economic success with social duty. It has moved from charity to a strategic necessity, crucial for creating inclusive growth, preserving stakeholder trust, and guaranteeing continued financial success. Future study should continue to examine sectoral variances and create quantitative CSR models that mix business goals with social responsibilities.

***Lecturer**
Department of Bus. Admn
Seth R.L. Saharia Govt. P.G. College
Kaladera, Jaipur (Raj.)

References

1. Aguilar, F. J. (1967). *Environmental Scanning: The Process of Gathering Information for Strategic Decision Making*. Harvard University Press.
2. Bowman, E. H., & Haire, M. (1975). A Strategic Posture Toward Corporate Social Responsibility. *California Management Review*, 18(2), 49–58.
3. Vance, S. C. (1975). Are Socially Responsible Corporations Good Investment Risks? *Management Review*, 64(8), 18–24.

Relationship between Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) and Financial Performance

Dr. Sushma Sharma

4. Ingram, R. W. (1978). An Investigation of the Information Content of (Certain) Social Responsibility Disclosures. *Journal of Accounting Research*, 16(2), 270–285.
5. Mintzberg, H. (1987). The Strategy Concept: Five Ps for Strategy. *California Management Review*, 30(1), 11–24.
6. Oliver, C. (1991). Strategic Responses to Institutional Processes. *Academy of Management Review*, 16(1), 145–179.
7. World Business Council for Sustainable Development (1999). *Corporate Social Responsibility: Meeting Changing Expectations*. Geneva: WBCSD.
8. Lin, J. Y. (2004). Development Strategies for Inclusive Growth in Developing Asia. *Asian Development Review*, 21(2), 1–27.
9. Kapoor, S., & Sandhu, H. S. (2005). Corporate Social Responsibility and Financial Performance: Exploring the Relationship. *SAGE Journals*, 12(3), 47–59.
10. Gupta, N. (2005). Corporate Social Responsibility in Indian Corporates: A Roadmap for Human Development. *Indian Journal of Business Ethics*, 4(1), 61–78.
11. Frolick, M. N., & Ariyachandra, T. R. (2006). Business Performance Management: One Truth. *Information Systems Management*, 23(1), 41–48.
12. Orsato, R. J. (2006). Competitive Environmental Strategies: When Does It Pay to Be Green? *California Management Review*, 48(2), 127–143.
13. Rao, M. (2007). Fostering Inclusive Growth. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 42(34), 345–351.
14. KPMG & ASSOCHAM (2008). *Corporate Social Responsibility: Towards a Sustainable Future*. New Delhi.
15. Kewalramani, R. (2014). Why Business Needs to Have a Heart: Understanding the Importance of CSR. *International Journal of Management Research*, 9(2), 33–44.
16. Burange, L. G., Karnik, N. N., & Ranadive, R. (2014). The Experience of India's Inclusive Growth. *Review of Development and Change*, 19(1), 71–92.
17. Nidhi, G. (2014). Corporate Social Responsibility & Inclusive Growth. *Global Journal of Multidisciplinary Studies*, 3(4), 163–167.
18. Corrigan, G., & Di Batisto, A. (2015). *Social Inclusion and Economic Growth: A Framework for India*. World Economic Forum Report.
19. Das, S. R. (2015). Dimensions of Inclusive Economic Growth in India. *Journal of Research Innovation and Management Science*, 1(2), 37–43.
20. Dash, S. S., & Mishra, N. (2015). Institutional Theory as a Driver of CSR: An Integrative Framework. *The Sankalpa: International Journal of Management Decisions*, 3(1–2), 69–82.

Relationship between Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) and Financial Performance

Dr. Sushma Sharma