A Systematic Review of Certain Kinds of Special Finsler Spaces in Differential Geometry

*Dr. Suman Jain

Abstract

This paper's objective is to provide a review of special Finsler space theory. I present the most significant and widely used special Finsler manifolds. These particular Finsler spaces are defined and described. It is discovered how the many kinds of special Finsler spaces relate to one another. Numerous findings from the literature are validated, and the study yields a number of novel findings. Despite the fact that our analysis is completely thorough, I provide the definitions of the peculiar Finsler spaces as well as the local counterpart of my methodology for comparison's sake.

Keywords: Riemannian geometry, Torsion, differential, and Finsler.

INTRODUCTION

It has been about 140 years since B. Riemann described the fundamental idea of an amazing Finsler geometry in 1854, and it has been exactly 75 years since P. Finsler provided the primary general interpretation of this geometry in 1918. A tremendous amount of effort has been done on the numerical development of this concept throughout that extended period of time. A few monographs that have been published since the late 1950s present the results obtained (H. Rund., 1959). Various writers have examined various forms of recurrence in Riemannian geometry (U.C et al., 1995). On the other hand, J. P. Singh (2009) notes that several forms of relapse in Finsler geometry have also been investigated. The main effective study of manifolds provided with such a metric was delayed by more than 60 years, which is astonishing (M. Matsumoto, 1969). The subject of FINSLER's 1918 proposal, after whom such spaces were eventually called, was framed by an analysis of this kind.

The analytics of variations is where the Finsler space idea first emerged. As a result, we should discuss the simplest problems in the math of varieties in this section. However, it should be noted that no prior knowledge of this topic is assumed, and no attempt is made to advance the discussion as is typically handled in standard texts on the topic (E. M. Patterson, 1952).

Only those developments that play a central role in the theory of Finsler spaces—like the state of LEGENDRE—are covered in depth and go beyond what many would think is feasible from a geometric standpoint. The presentation of the purported digression spaces best illustrates the close features of such a metric, and it is shown how a problem in the math of varieties imposes a metric on the fundamental manifolds (A. G. Walker, 1950). Although the concept of a digression space is technically free from the annoyance of a metric and should thus have been familiar with it beforehand, its significance is perhaps better understood in the context of the latter.

A metric hypothesis of Riemannian geometry in which, unlike in the Riemannian case, the length of a vector is not often expressed as the square foundation of a quadratic form. This kind of conjecture was first formulated in the work by P. Finsler.

The object studied in Finsler geometry is a real N-dimensional differentiable manifold M (of class at least C3) with a system of local coordinates xi , on which a real nonnegative scalar functio9n F(x,y) in 2N independent variables xi and yi is given, where y i are the components of the contravariant vectors tangent to M at the point xi . Suppose that F(x,y) belongs to the class C3 in xi , and that in each tangent space Mx to M there is a domain Mx* such that, first, it is conical (in the sense that if any vector yi tangent at some point xi belongs to Mx* , then every other tangent vector that is collinear with y i and tangent at the same point xi also belongs to Mx*), and secondly, F(x,y) belongs to the class C5 in yi , Mx* . Non-zero vectors yi , Mx* are called admissible. Suppose further that for every admissible yi and every point xi :

$$F(x, y) > 0, \qquad \det \frac{\partial^2 F^2(x, y)}{\partial y^i \partial y^j} \neq 0,$$

and also that F(x,y) is positively homogeneous of degree one in yi , that is, F(x.ky)=kF(x,y) for every k>0 and all xi and admissible yi .

If a Finsler space admits a coordinate system xi such that F does not depend on these x, then it is called a Minkowski space. The latter is related to a Finsler space in the same way as a Euclidean space is related to a Riemannian space. A Finsler space is called positive definite if one imposes a condition on F that ensures that the quadratic form $z^i z^j$ {F2(x,y)/y^i y^j } is positive definite for all xi and non-zero y^j.

From the standpoint of Finsler geometry itself Randers' metric is very interesting, because its form is simple and properties of the Finsler space equipped with this metric must be described by the ones of the Riemannian space equipped with the metric L(x, dx)=(g u (x)dx idx j)'/ 2 together with the 1-form 13(x, dx)=b i (x)d x l. F o r example the curvature tensors Rh i i k , P hijk , a n d Shijk of the Finsler space must be written in terms of Riemannian tensors, that is , the curvature tensor, bi and its covariant derivatives with respect to the Riemannian connection. But we have few papers concerned with the Finsler space in viewpoint of Finsler geometry (Hashiguchi et al., 1973).

Three kinds of repetition in Finsler geometry—the simple repeat, the Ricci repeat, and the concircular repeat—were introduced and naturally studied in a recent study. There are four different types of repetition in each of these groups. We also looked at how the various types of repetition relate to one another. Specifically, Ricci, summed up Ricci, projectively intermittent, and mprojectively repeating Finsler spaces are among the novel types of remarkable Finsler spaces that we introduce and study in this work. The characteristics of a few Finsler tensors are described and considered. The projectively intermittent and m-projectively repetitive Finsler spaces are described by these tensors. It is investigated how the aforementioned places relate to one another (H. Singh et al., 2000).

OBJECTIVE OF THE STUDY

The main objective of the study is to explain the types of finsler spaces and their relationship in differential geometry. This study also investigates the properties of these special Finsler spaces and emphasize on Ricci finsler space.

Differential Geometry

Differential geometry is a branch of mathematics that studies geometrical issues using the techniques of differential and integral calculus. Its fundamental advancement in the eighteenth and nineteenth centuries was influenced by the theories of plane and space bends as well as surfaces in three-dimensional Euclidean space. It has a strong connection to both differential topology and the geometric components of the differential condition hypothesis. The Poincare guess using the Ricci stream processes demonstrated the power of the differential-geometric approach to topological problems and emphasized the unavoidable necessity that the diagnostic techniques professed to have (Maralabhavi et al., 1999).

Differential geometry branches

Riemannian geometry

The concepts of a separation conveyed by technique for a positive, unmistakably symmetric bilinear frame described on the digression space at every point are concentrated in Riemannian geometry, along with Riemannian manifolds and smooth manifolds with a Riemannian metric. Despite the fact that they "imperceptibly" follow the Euclidean space at every point, that is, in the fundamental request of estimation, Riemannian geometry reduces Euclidean geometry to spaces that are not really level. There are regular analogs in Riemannian geometry for several concepts related to length, including the volume of solids, zone of planar locations, and bend length. In Riemannian geometry, the concept of a covariant subsidiary of a tensor is extended from the notion of a directional subordinate of a capacity in multivariable mathematics. The setting of Riemannian manifolds has been used to summarize a variety of concepts, methods, and differential conditions (Singh et al., 2000).

An isometry is a diffeomorphism between Riemannian manifolds that preserves separation. This idea may also be described locally, that is, for small focal neighborhoods. Locally, any two standard bends are isometric.

However, Theorema Egregium of Gauss showed that, as of right now, the existence of a neighborhood isometry for surfaces imposes strict similarity requirements on their measurements: the Gaussian bends at the comparison foci had to be identical. The Riemann form tensor, which quantifies how close something is to being level, is a key pointwise invariant associated with a Riemannian complex in higher measurements. The constant ebb and flow of Riemannian symmetric spaces frames an essential class of Riemannian manifolds. According to M. Matsumoto (1969), they are the closest to the "conventional" plane and space taken into account in Euclidean and non-Euclidean geometry.

Pseudo-Riemannian geometry

Riemannian geometry is simplified by pseudo-Riemannian geometry, which allows the metric tensor to be positive definite. A Lorentzian space, which is founded on the mathematical underpinnings of Einstein's general relativity theory of gravity, is a specific instance of this.

Finsler geometry

The main topic of study in Finsler geometry is the Finsler complex, which is a differential complex with a Finsler metric—that is, a Banach standard described on each digression space. Compared to a Riemannian metric, a Finsler metric has a much broader structure (M. Matsumoto, 1986).

A Finsler structure on a manifold M is a function $F : TM \rightarrow [0,n)$ such that:

1. $F(x, my) = mF(x,y)$ for all x, y in TM

2. F is infinitely differentiable in $TM - \{0\}$,

3. The vertical Hessian of $FF/2$ is positive definite.

Symplectic geometry

Symplectic manifolds are the subject of symplectic geometry. A differentiable complex with a non-worsen skew-symmetric bilinear shut 2-frame, the symplectic shape ω, is called a symplectic complex. A symplecto morphism is a diffeomorphism between two symplectic manifolds that preserves the symplectic shape. In essence, symplectic manifolds have even measurement as nonworsen skew-symmetric bilinear structures may only occur on even dimensions vector spaces. In measurement 2, a symplecto morphism is a zone that protects diffeomorphism, and a symplectic complex is just a surface endowed with a region shape. They first appeared in Lagrange's work on systematic mechanics and then in Jacobi's and Hamilton's scheme of classical mechanics. The stage space of a mechanical framework is a symplectic complex.

Darboux's hypothesis states that all symplectic manifolds are locally isomorphic because they seem differently in reference to Riemannian geometry, where the bend offers a nearby invariant of Riemannian manifolds. Topological viewpoints play a significant role in symplectic geometry, and the primary invariants of a symplectic complex are global in character. The primary finding in symplectic topology is most likely the Poincaré-Birkhoff hypothesis, which George Birkhoff proved in 1912 after Henri Poincaré made the estimate. It ensures that an annulus's range-saving guide has at least two resolved focuses if it bends each limit segment in inverse directions.

Contact geometry

Some odd-measurement manifolds are managed via contact geometry. Like the prior one, it began with questions about well-established mechanics and is close to symplectic geometry. According to the specialized term "totally non integrable digression hyper plane conveyance," a smooth hyper plane field H in the digression package provides a contact structure on a (2n+1)-dimensional complex M that is beyond what many would think is feasible from being connected with the level arrangements of a differentiable capacity on M. A no place vanishing 1-frame α, which is unique until it is enhanced by a no place vanishing capacity, controls a buildup rplane appropriation near each point p.

$$H_p = \ker \alpha_p \subset T_p M.$$

If the distribution H can be defined by a global 1-form α then this form is contact if and only if the top-dimensional form a ^ (da)n is a volume form on M. A contact analogue of the Darboux theorem holds: all contact structures on odd dimensional spaces are locally isomorphic and can be conveyed to a certain local normal form by a suitable choice of the coordinate system (Nabil et al., 2013).

DISCUSSION

In this segment, we present and study some new unique Finsler spaces, called Ricci and summed up Ricci Finsler spaces. A few classes of summed up Ricci Finsler spaces are recognized. These new spaces have been characterized in Riemannian geometry. We stretch out them to the Finslerian case. In the event that f:M→N is a differentiable guide and (N,gN) a Riemannian complex, then the pullback of gN along f is a quadratic frame on the digression space of M. It is conceivable that Finsler geometry will be most helpful in the intricate space, on the grounds that each mind boggling complex, with or without limit, has a Caratheodory pseudo-metric and a Kobayashi pseudo-metric. Under ideal (however fairly stringent) conditions these are C2 measurements and, in particular, they are normally Finslerian. The investigation on the complex is along these lines personally attached to the geometry. The scalar item on the pulled-back offers ascend to a Hermitian structure on the complexification of the last mentioned. Here the geometrical properties blend well with the intricate structure;

association structures are of sort (1,0) and bend structures are of sort (1,1). A genuine esteemed holomorphic bend, as a capacity on PTM, can be presented. From this perspective an imperative class of complex manifolds comprises of those whose Kobayashi metric has steady holomorphic shape. When it is a negative consistent or zero, they have been considered by Abate and Patrizio, cf.. The instance of positive steady holomorphic shape merits examination. Let us consider a quasi-metric space (M,ϱ) with distance function. Properties (R i,iii– vi), (ϱ F replaced by ϱ) will always be supposed in the sequel. We want to define a correspondence equation represented below:

$$\varrho(p_0, q) \mapsto \bar{\mathcal{F}}(p_0, y); \qquad (M, \varrho) \mapsto (M, \bar{\mathcal{F}}) \quad \forall p_0 \in M$$

With the natural requirement that in the case of ϱ = ϱ F the Finsler metric corresponding to ϱ = ϱ F by is just that F from which ϱ F originates by:

$$\mathcal{F} \overset{(1)}{\longmapsto} \varrho^F \overset{(3)}{\longmapsto} \bar{\mathcal{F}} = \mathcal{F}.$$

We know that between ϱ F and F the relation subsists. Hence F (p 0 , y) in must have the for:

Equation

$$\bar{\mathcal{F}}(p, y) := \lim_{t \to 0}\left[\frac{d}{dt}\varrho\big(p, q(t)\big)\right], \quad y = \lim_{t \to 0}\frac{dq}{dt},$$

Where q(t), 0 ⩽t ⩽b, q(0)= p is a curve emanating from p is meaningful, since the limit exists by our assumption. The instinctual content of this is the following: Let U p 0 be a coordinate neighborhood of M around p 0 with local coordinate's q 1 t o qn . We know that (z =ϱ(p 0 ,q)) ⊂U p 0 ×Z ⊂R n+1 (q 1 t o qn,z) is not differentiable at q=p 0, but it has tangent rays. These tangent rays form a cone with its cape at p 0 . Means that is defined as this cone in R n+1(q,z).

CONCLUSION

In the unlikely event that a point's area is communicated in space via rectilinear directions, ds = qö(dxi)2. In this approach, space is included in the simplest case. The next least difficult example may include the manifolds where the line component can be expressed as the fourth base of a fourth-degree differential articulation. It would be quite tiresome in the first German and give very little new information on the inquiry of space, especially because the results cannot be expressed geometrically. However, examination of this more wide class would truly need no fundamental varied norms.

As is evident, tensor analysis on M may be used to fully and efficiently handle Riemannian geometry. Its disadvantage with Finsler geometry arises from the fact that the latter requires many spaces, such as PTM notwithstanding M, on which tensor analysis is not a good match. In any event, attempting to solve this problem by making sure that all advancements are invariant under rescaling in y would assist.

The Pythagorian method of the metric might serve as the foundation for Riemann's emphasis on Riemannian geometry. His proposal to generalize Finsler geometry was a significant discovery. His vision gained acceptance after more than a century of scientific advancement. Even if there is still work to be done on the issue in order to address certain obvious questions, I lean toward believing that more conjecture will lead to breakthroughs in the future. A metric space's geometry is always a fascinating topic. A. D. has considered Finsler geometry from this perspective.

The purpose of Finsler measurements has been covered in this short study. For example, the Kobayashi and Caratheodory measures are truly Finslerian and simple to comprehend in the capacity hypothesis of a few complicated components; they also eliminate declining in holomorphic mappings. Finslerian constructs also manifest themselves in applications, particularly in the fields of optics, scientific science/environment, and control hypothesis. All things considered, Riemannian geometry will continue to be a crucial component of Finsler geometry notwithstanding the aforementioned arguments about the importance and suitability of the Finslerian viewpoint.

***Department of Mathematics**
Govt. Girls P.G. College
Dausa (Raj.)

REFERENCES

[1] E. M. Patterson, Some theorems on Ricci recurrent spaces, J. London Math. Soc., 27 (1952), 287-295.

[2] G. Walker, On Ruses's spaces of recurrent curvature, Proc. London Math. Soc., 52 (1950), 36-64.

[3] G.S. Asanov, Finder Geometry, Relativity and Gauge Theories, Reidel, Dordrecht, (1985).

[4] H. Rund, The D$erential Geometry of Finsler Spaces, Springer, Berlin, (1959); Znd enlarged edition in Russian.

[5] H. Rund, The Differential Geometry of Finsler Spaces (Springer, Berlin, 1959).

[6] H. Singh and Q. Khan, On generalized recurrent Riemannian manifolds, Publ. Math. Debrecen, 56 (2000), 87-95.

A Systematic Review of Certain Kinds of Special Finsler Spaces in Differential Geometry

Dr. Suman Jain

[7] J. P. Singh, On an Einstein m-projectve P-Sasakian amnifolds, Bull. Cal. Math. Soc., 101 (2009), 175-180.

[8] M. Matsumoto, Foundations of Finsler Geometry and Special Finsler Spaces. Kaiseisha, Otsu, (1986).

[9] Meenakshy Thakur, C.K. Mishra and Gautam Lodhi, Decomposability of Projective Curvature Tensor in Recurrent Finsler Space (WR- Fn), IJCA, 2014, Vol. 100– No.19, 32-34.

[10] Nabil L. Youssef and A. Soleiman, On concircularly recurrent Finsler manifolds, Balkan J. Geom. Appl., 18 (2013), 101-113. arXiv: 0704.0053

[11] Pande, H.D. and Khan, T.A.: On generalized 2-recurrent Berwald's curvature tensor field in a Finsler space, Acta Ciencia India 4(1), (1978) 56-59.

[12] R. H. Ojha, m-projectvely flat Saskian manifold, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., 4 (1985), 481-484.

[13] S. M. Minˇci´c, "New commutation formulas in the non-symmetric affine connection space," Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd) (N. S), vol. 22.36/, pp. 189– 199, 1977.

[14] U. C. De, N. Guha and D. Kamilya, On generalized Ricci-recurrent manifolds, Tensor, N. S., 56 (1995), 312-317.

[15] Y. B. Maralabhavi and M. Rathnamma, On generalized recurrent manifold, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., 30 (1999), 1167-1171.