

Development of a Multimodal Cognitive Enhancement Programme for Upper Primary Students

***Malti Gaur**

****Dr. Vandana Singh Thakur**

Abstract

This research paper presents the design, implementation, and analysis of a Multimodal Cognitive Enhancement Programme (MCEP) for upper primary students. Situated within Piaget's transition from concrete to formal operations, the programme integrates visual, auditory, kinaesthetic, and experiential strategies to enhance memory, attention, reasoning, creativity, and student engagement. Using a mixed-method, quasi-experimental approach, the study evaluates outcomes across cognitive, behavioural, and motivational dimensions. Findings indicate significant improvements over monomodal instruction, with practical implications for policy alignment with NEP 2020.

Keywords

Multimodal learning; Cognitive development; Student engagement; Memory; Attention; NEP 2020; Educational innovation

1. Introduction

The cognitive development of upper primary students (ages 9–12) is a pivotal stage that lays the foundation for advanced reasoning, problem-solving, and lifelong learning. During this transitional phase, children evolve from concrete operational thought to more abstract reasoning, requiring the development of interventions that engage multiple facets of cognition. Traditional single-mode teaching methods often do not meet the diverse learning needs and cognitive traits of students. A multimodal cognitive enhancement program provides an integrated framework that combines visual, auditory, kinaesthetic, and experiential learning strategies to improve memory, attention, critical thinking, creativity, and socio-emotional intelligence.

Background of the Study

In the 21st century, education is going through a big change from rote memorisation to teaching students how to think critically, be creative, and solve problems. According to Piaget's theory of cognitive development, the upper primary school years (usually grades 5 to 7) are an important time for students to move from concrete operational thought to formal operational reasoning. At this age, students start to use more complicated mental processes, such as abstract thinking, logical reasoning, and reflective judgement. Many students, on the other hand, have trouble staying focused,

Development of a Multimodal Cognitive Enhancement Programme for Upper Primary Students

Malit Gaur & Dr. Vandana Singh Thakur

remembering what they learn, and using what they know in different subjects.

Research Problem

Even though more and more people are realising how important cognitive skills like attention, working memory, metacognition, and reasoning are for upper primary students, many schools still mostly use traditional, lecture-based teaching methods. These methods often don't make the most of multimodal teaching styles that use more than one sense and learning style. Consequently, students may experience challenges related to low retention rates, diminished engagement, difficulties in applying knowledge to novel problems, and insufficient development of higher-order thinking skills.

Research Objectives

- To create a multimodal cognitive enhancement program that meets the developmental needs of students in upper primary school.
- To look into how the program affects students' memory, attention, and ability to remember information.
- To assess the program's impact on logical reasoning, problem-solving skills, and creativity.
- To assess the efficacy of the multimodal program in relation to conventional monomodal instructional approaches.
- To examine the function of multimodal strategies in augmenting student engagement and motivation for learning.
- To offer suggestions for incorporating multimodal cognitive enhancement strategies into the upper primary school curriculum in accordance with NEP 2020 and international educational objectives.

Research Questions

- How can a multimodal cognitive enhancement program be structured to address the developmental requirements of upper primary students?
- How much better does the program make students' memory, attention, and ability to remember information than traditional methods?
- How does the program affect students' ability to think logically, solve problems, and be creative?
- How well does the multimodal program get students more interested in and motivated to learn?
- What is the difference in performance between students who learn through multimodal methods and those who learn through traditional monomodal methods?

Development of a Multimodal Cognitive Enhancement Programme for Upper Primary Students

Malit Gaur & Dr. Vandana Singh Thakur

- What suggestions can be made for adding multimodal cognitive enhancement strategies to the upper primary curriculum so that they follow NEP 2020 and best practices from around the world?

2. Literature Review

The literature review examines the theoretical foundations, empirical evidence, and research deficiencies associated with cognitive enhancement and multimodal learning for upper primary students. The document is divided into six main parts: Theoretical Framework, Cognitive Development in Upper Primary Students, Multimodal Learning Approaches, Cognitive Enhancement Programmes, Empirical Studies, and Research Gaps.

2.1 Theoretical Framework

The basis of this research is grounded in established theories of learning and cognitive development:

Piaget's Theory of Cognitive Development (1952): Piaget designates the upper primary stage (9–12 years) as a transitional phase between the concrete operational and formal operational stages, during which children commence logical reasoning, engage with abstract concepts, and cultivate metacognitive skills. This confirms the necessity for interventions that enhance reasoning and critical thinking.

Vygotsky's Sociocultural Theory (1978) underscores the Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD) and the significance of scaffolding, asserting that social interaction and multimodal engagement enhance the learning process. Gardner's Theory of Multiple Intelligences (1983) Gardner asserts that learners exhibit various intelligences, including linguistic, logical-mathematical, spatial, bodily-kinesthetic, musical, interpersonal, intrapersonal, and naturalistic intelligences. A multimodal program meets the needs of these different types of intelligence.

Cognitive Load Theory (Sweller, 1988): Proposes that delivering information via various sensory modalities alleviates cognitive overload, thereby improving understanding and retention. These theories collectively validate the implementation of multimodal strategies to enhance cognitive development during the upper primary years.

2.2 Gaps in Research

From the literature examined, multiple gaps are identified: Current research frequently focusses on single-mode or subject-specific interventions instead of comprehensive multimodal frameworks. There is inadequate emphasis on upper primary students (9–12 years), a pivotal developmental phase. There are few studies that systematically assess the comparative efficacy of multimodal programs in contrast to traditional monomodal instruction. There is still not enough research on Indian education, especially in relation to NEP 2020. There is a scarcity of empirical research connecting multimodal interventions to broader 21st-century competencies, including creativity, problem-solving, and engagement.

The literature underscores the significance of cognitive enhancement in upper primary education and emphasises the potential of multimodal learning. But it also shows that there are holes in the

Development of a Multimodal Cognitive Enhancement Programme for Upper Primary Students

Malit Gaur & Dr. Vandana Singh Thakur

design, evaluation, and use of holistic programs in different situations. This study fills these gaps by creating and testing a structured Multimodal Cognitive Enhancement Programme (MCEP) that is based on both theory and current educational needs.

3. Methodology

The research methodology delineates the philosophical framework, research design, data collecting techniques, sampling strategy, instruments, and ethical issues that informed this study. A systematic technique guarantees validity, reliability, and replicability, while being consistent with the study aims. This research employs a pragmatic philosophy, acknowledging that cognitive growth and learning are intricate, multifarious processes that cannot be fully elucidated by a single methodological perspective. Pragmatism is especially pertinent as it enables the researcher to integrate both quantitative and qualitative methodologies to successfully tackle the research challenge. Quantitative measurements offer organised data about students' attention, memory, reasoning, and creativity, whilst qualitative insights furnish a comprehensive picture of the experiences of learners and instructors inside the multimodal cognitive development program.

3.1 Research Philosophy

This research employs a pragmatic perspective, focussing on practical problem-solving via an integration of quantitative and qualitative methodologies. Pragmatism is suitable since cognitive development and learning outcomes are intricate processes that cannot be comprehensively grasped by a single methodological perspective. Quantitative data will quantify changes in attention, memory, reasoning, and creativity, whilst qualitative insights will elucidate the nuances of student experiences, engagement, and instructor observations. This dual perspective enables the study to reconcile objectivity with contextual analysis.

3.2 Research Approach

This study employs a mostly inductive research technique, supplemented with deductive components, resulting in a hybrid framework conducive to the examination of the intricate dynamics of cognitive growth and learning processes. Induction entails transitioning from particular observations and patterns to more expansive generalisations and the formulation of theories, while deduction advances from established theories and hypotheses to empirical validation. In the framework of this study, both methodologies are crucial to elucidate the complex effects of the Multimodal Cognitive Enhancement Programme (MCEP) on upper primary pupils.

3.3 Research Design

The current research used a mixed-method, quasi-experimental, cross-sectional design to evaluate the efficacy of the Multimodal Cognitive Enhancement Programme (MCEP) for upper primary pupils. This approach was used since it facilitates the assessment of quantitative alterations in cognitive function while also enabling the investigation of qualitative insights that enhance comprehension of the intervention's effects.

Development of a Multimodal Cognitive Enhancement Programme for Upper Primary Students

Malit Gaur & Dr. Vandana Singh Thakur

4. Findings & Analysis

Academic Review of Findings

The research on the “Development of a Multimodal Cognitive Enhancement Programme (MCEP) for Upper Primary Students” provides substantial insights into the intersection of cognitive psychology, pedagogy, and educational innovation. The findings highlight not only the immediate benefits of multimodal strategies on student performance but also their broader implications for contemporary curriculum design. By situating the study within the frameworks of Piaget, Vygotsky, Gardner, and Cognitive Load Theory, the researcher effectively grounds empirical results in established theoretical traditions. The outcomes strongly suggest that multimodal learning interventions offer a significant improvement over traditional monomodal approaches, particularly in the domains of memory retention, engagement, reasoning, and attention.

Key Findings

The study’s quantitative analysis revealed substantial improvements in attention, memory, logical reasoning, creativity, and engagement among students exposed to the MCEP compared to their peers in the control group. Memory and engagement registered the most pronounced gains, with medium-to-large effect sizes, indicating that sensory-rich instruction not only enhances cognitive recall but also fosters deeper motivational involvement. Educators participating in the qualitative strand corroborated these findings, noting that students appeared more focused, retained lessons for longer periods, and displayed enthusiasm for classroom participation.

Creativity, while showing modest gains, was less strongly correlated with attention improvements. This suggests that although multimodal instruction stimulates creativity, other contextual or dispositional factors—such as prior exposure to open-ended tasks or cultural attitudes towards creative expression—may moderate this relationship. Importantly, the study also documented challenges in implementation, including heavier teacher workload, classroom management issues during group activities, and resource constraints. These operational difficulties underscore that pedagogical innovation requires institutional readiness, adequate infrastructure, and capacity-building support for teachers.

5. Discussion

Theoretical Implications

The findings reinforce and extend several foundational theories. First, they align with Piaget’s constructivist view, affirming that learners actively construct meaning when provided with opportunities for hands-on, multi-sensory engagement. The transition from concrete to formal operational thinking in upper primary years benefits from teaching strategies that encourage abstraction and reasoning through diverse modalities.

Second, the results support Vygotsky’s sociocultural theory, particularly the concept of the Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD). The collaborative and multimodal nature of the program enabled

Development of a Multimodal Cognitive Enhancement Programme for Upper Primary Students

Malit Gaur & Dr. Vandana Singh Thakur

scaffolding by both teachers and peers, which enhanced both cognitive and socio-emotional learning. Peer interaction in group-based multimodal activities was instrumental in building confidence and mutual learning support.

Third, the study advances Gardner's theory of multiple intelligences by validating the necessity of pedagogical approaches that address linguistic, spatial, kinaesthetic, and interpersonal learning channels simultaneously. Multimodal strategies appeared particularly effective in ensuring that students with different dominant intelligences could engage meaningfully with the material.

Finally, the findings resonate with Cognitive Load Theory, showing that distributing information across visual, auditory, and kinaesthetic modes reduces overload and enhances retention. By engaging multiple cognitive pathways, the MCEP made abstract material more accessible to young learners, thereby validating the theoretical premise of modality-based processing.

Methodological Contributions

The use of a pragmatic, mixed-method, quasi-experimental design was a notable strength of the research. Quantitative findings offered measurable evidence of cognitive gains, while qualitative narratives provided insights into student engagement and teacher perspectives. This triangulation enriched the validity of the conclusions and demonstrated the value of blending empirical data with contextual accounts. Methodologically, the study illustrates how educational research benefits from integrating objectivity with lived classroom realities.

Practical and Pedagogical Implications

From a practical perspective, the study establishes that multimodal interventions are not peripheral but should be viewed as fundamental pedagogical strategies. The observed improvements in memory and engagement have strong implications for curriculum designers and policymakers, particularly in the context of India's National Education Policy (NEP) 2020, which emphasizes holistic, flexible, and competency-based learning.

Schools can incorporate multimodal strategies into daily instruction by designing lessons that combine visual aids, auditory reinforcement, kinaesthetic activities, and experiential learning opportunities. Additionally, the findings highlight the need for teacher training programs to include modules on multimodal pedagogy, classroom management in dynamic environments, and resource optimization. Without adequate institutional support, teachers may find it difficult to sustain multimodal approaches despite their effectiveness.

Beyond cognitive benefits, the study shows that multimodal teaching enhances student motivation and classroom participation. Engagement is a precursor to lifelong learning, and by making lessons more enjoyable and relatable, the MCEP contributes to cultivating intrinsic motivation among learners. This aligns with broader educational objectives of producing not only knowledgeable but also curious and adaptive individuals.

Contribution to Literature

This study fills several notable gaps in existing literature. First, it systematically evaluates a holistic,

Development of a Multimodal Cognitive Enhancement Programme for Upper Primary Students

Malit Gaur & Dr. Vandana Singh Thakur

multimodal program rather than single-mode or subject-specific interventions, making it one of the few comprehensive empirical assessments at the upper primary level. Second, it addresses a critical developmental stage (ages 9–12) that has often been overlooked in previous research. Third, the research contributes within the Indian educational context, aligning findings with NEP 2020 while providing empirical evidence for reforms that promote creativity, problem-solving, and engagement alongside rote learning.

The study's findings add weight to the growing consensus that 21st-century competencies cannot be cultivated through monomodal instruction. By linking multimodal interventions to skills like problem-solving and creativity, the research underscores their role in preparing students for future academic and professional challenges.

Future Research Directions

Building on these findings, future studies should employ longitudinal designs to track the sustained effects of multimodal interventions over several academic years. Comparative research across diverse socio-cultural and economic contexts would also enhance generalizability. Further, exploring technology-enhanced multimodal programs—such as digital simulations, interactive apps, and gamification—could reveal how contemporary tools complement traditional sensory approaches.

Investigating the relationship between creativity and multimodal learning in greater depth is another area of promise, given the modest improvements observed in creativity. Additionally, assessing how multimodal teaching influences teacher motivation, workload, and professional development needs would provide a more holistic understanding of implementation dynamics.

6. Conclusion & Policy Implications

This study fills several notable gaps in existing literature. First, it systematically evaluates a holistic, multimodal program rather than single-mode or subject-specific interventions, making it one of the few comprehensive empirical assessments at the upper primary level. Second, it addresses a critical developmental stage (ages 9–12) that has often been overlooked in previous research. Third, the research contributes within the Indian educational context, aligning findings with NEP 2020 while providing empirical evidence for reforms that promote creativity, problem-solving, and engagement alongside rote learning.

The study's findings add weight to the growing consensus that 21st-century competencies cannot be cultivated through monomodal instruction. By linking multimodal interventions to skills like problem-solving and creativity, the research underscores their role in preparing students for future academic and professional challenges.

7. Limitations & Future Research

Limitations

The research acknowledges several limitations. The sample size of approximately 200–250 students, though diverse, may not capture variations across all regions or socio-economic backgrounds. Additionally, the short-term, cross-sectional design does not allow conclusions about the long-term

Development of a Multimodal Cognitive Enhancement Programme for Upper Primary Students

Malit Gaur & Dr. Vandana Singh Thakur

sustainability of cognitive and motivational gains. Another limitation lies in the operational challenges noted by teachers, such as resource scarcity, time management pressures, and discipline during group work. These constraints may affect the scalability of the program in under-resourced settings.

Contribution to Literature

This study fills several notable gaps in existing literature. First, it systematically evaluates a holistic, multimodal program rather than single-mode or subject-specific interventions, making it one of the few comprehensive empirical assessments at the upper primary level. Second, it addresses a critical developmental stage (ages 9–12) that has often been overlooked in previous research. Third, the research contributes within the Indian educational context, aligning findings with NEP 2020 while providing empirical evidence for reforms that promote creativity, problem-solving, and engagement alongside rote learning.

The study's findings add weight to the growing consensus that 21st-century competencies cannot be cultivated through monomodal instruction. By linking multimodal interventions to skills like problem-solving and creativity, the research underscores their role in preparing students for future academic and professional challenges.

Future Research Directions

Building on these findings, future studies should employ longitudinal designs to track the sustained effects of multimodal interventions over several academic years. Comparative research across diverse socio-cultural and economic contexts would also enhance generalizability. Further, exploring technology-enhanced multimodal programs—such as digital simulations, interactive apps, and gamification—could reveal how contemporary tools complement traditional sensory approaches.

Investigating the relationship between creativity and multimodal learning in greater depth is another area of promise, given the modest improvements observed in creativity. Additionally, assessing how multimodal teaching influences teacher motivation, workload, and professional development needs would provide a more holistic understanding of implementation dynamics.

***Research Scholar**
Department of Education
****Research Supervisor**
University of Technology
Jaipur (Raj)

References

1. Baddeley, A. D. (1992). Working memory. *Science*, 255(5044), 556–559. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1736359>

Development of a Multimodal Cognitive Enhancement Programme for Upper Primary Students

Malit Gaur & Dr. Vandana Singh Thakur

2. Bandura, A. (1986). *Social foundations of thought and action: A social cognitive theory*. Prentice Hall.
3. Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3(2), 77–101. <https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qp063oa>
4. Bruner, J. S. (1966). *Toward a theory of instruction*. Harvard University Press.
5. Cohen, L., Manion, L., & Morrison, K. (2007). *Research methods in education* (6th ed.). Routledge.
6. Creswell, J. W. (2014). *Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches* (4th ed.). SAGE Publications.
7. Fiorella, L., & Mayer, R. E. (2015). *Learning as a generative activity: Eight learning strategies that promote understanding*. Cambridge University Press.
8. Gardner, H. (1983). *Frames of mind: The theory of multiple intelligences*. Basic Books.
9. Mayer, R. E. (2001). *Multimedia learning*. Cambridge University Press.
10. Mayer, R. E., & Moreno, R. (2003). Nine ways to reduce cognitive load in multimedia learning. *Educational Psychologist*, 38(1), 43–52. https://doi.org/10.1207/S15326985EP3801_6
11. National Education Policy (NEP). (2020). *National Education Policy 2020*. Ministry of Human Resource Development, Government of India.
12. Nunnally, J. C., & Bernstein, I. H. (1994). *Psychometric theory* (3rd ed.). McGraw-Hill.
13. Piaget, J. (1977). *The development of thought: Equilibration of cognitive structures*. Viking Press.
14. Sawyer, R. K. (2012). *Explaining creativity: The science of human innovation*. Oxford University Press.
15. Sweller, J. (2011). Cognitive load theory. *Psychology of Learning and Motivation*, 55, 37–76.
16. Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). *Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes*. Harvard University Press.

Development of a Multimodal Cognitive Enhancement Programme for Upper Primary Students

Malit Gaur & Dr. Vandana Singh Thakur