Technology Transfers, AI, and Emerging Tech
A New Frontier for India-Russia Youth Collaboration

***Harshita Sharma**

Abstract

This paper examines the emerging potential for India–Russia cooperation in technology transfer, artificial intelligence (AI), and adjacent emerging technologies through the lens of youth diplomacy and youth-led innovation networks. Building on historical science-and-technology ties between the two countries, the study argues that the next phase of bilateral engagement can be catalyzed by youth-centred mechanisms that combine education exchanges, joint R&D with clear IPR pathways, startup and incubation linkages, and multilateral BRICS+ platforms for shared standards and capacity building. Using a mixed-methods qualitative approach — policy-document analysis, recent bilateral announcements, program case studies, and institutional mapping — the paper identifies existing cooperation platforms (DST–RSF calls, Skolkovo outreach, AIM–Sirius, BRICS youth science fora) and analyses structural barriers (sanctions, hardware access, regulatory divergence, IPR and commercialization gaps). It concludes with a practical roadmap of policy interventions — from joint "youth tech bridges" and co-funded translational grants to BRICS AI governance pilots — designed to make technology transfer between India and Russia inclusive, resilient, and youth-empowering. The paper contends that youth diplomacy is not only a soft-power instrument but a pragmatic means to accelerate safe, accountable, and mutually beneficial tech collaboration in a geopolitically contested multipolar era.

Keywords: India–Russia cooperation, technology transfer, artificial intelligence, youth diplomacy, BRICS, Skolkovo, Atal Innovation Mission, DST–RSF.

1. Introduction

India and Russia share a long-standing partnership in science, technology and defence cooperation dating back to the Soviet era. In recent years that relationship has begun to expand beyond heavy industry and defence into civilian science, digital technologies, and AI-enabled applications. Parallel to state-level initiatives, an evolving ecosystem of youth-led science forums, innovation missions, and university exchanges offers a distinctive channel to operationalize technology transfer with social legitimacy and scalability. This paper asks: **How can youth-driven mechanisms accelerate sustainable technology transfer and AI collaboration between India and Russia, and what policy architecture is required to make such cooperation equitable, secure, and innovation-**

friendly?

Three observations frame the analysis. First, institutional roadmaps and joint research programs already display political will for S&T cooperation, including AI as a priority research area. Second, youth forums and innovation agencies (both governmental and non-governmental) are increasingly active platforms for translational research and entrepreneurial collaboration. Third, structural and geopolitical constraints — from sanctions on hardware to divergent regulatory regimes — complicate direct transfer and commercialization but simultaneously create opportunities for South–South cooperation and localized capacity-building. Recent government and institutional actions corroborate these trends: joint research calls between India's Department of Science & Technology (DST) and Russia's Russian Science Foundation include AI among prioritized themes; Skolkovo and Russian innovation bodies have engaged in India outreach; and BRICS youth science and innovation fora are positioning AI governance and youth innovation as strategic priorities.

2. Literature Review and Conceptual Framework

2.1 Technology Transfer and International Cooperation

Technology transfer scholarship emphasizes the institutional, legal, and absorptive-capacity preconditions for successful transfer — namely: well-defined intellectual property (IP) arrangements, co-funding for translational stages, and capabilities at recipient institutions to adapt and scale innovations (Liu & White, 1997; Mowery et al., 2004). Bilateral tech cooperation must therefore go beyond paper MOUs and include mechanisms for joint labs, mobility of early-career researchers, and commercialization pathways.

2.2 AI Governance and Collaborative Norms

AI research stresses the dual imperative of capability-building and governance. International collaborations can democratize access to AI know-how while requiring shared standards on safety, data governance, and ethics (Floridi et al., 2018). Multilateral groupings (e.g., BRICS) have begun advancing shared AI dialogues, opening governance pathways that can be operationalized via youth-led coalitions.

2.3 Youth Diplomacy as an Operational Vector

Youth diplomacy literature frames young professionals as boundary-spanners with networks across academia, startups, and civil society. Youth forums can catalyze trust, create transnational teams, and sustain long-term linkages that outlast political cycles (Kostovicova & Mithani, 2016). Practically, youth diplomacy can help translate research into social ventures and maintain people-to-people linkages critical for technology transfer.

2.4 An Integrated Framework for India–Russia Youth Tech Collaboration

This paper synthesizes the above literatures into a four-part analytic framework: (a) institutional enablers (MOUs, joint calls, incubation hubs); (b) human-capital flows (student exchanges, young

scientists fora); (c) translational infrastructure (joint IP pathways, co-funded pilot projects, testbeds); and (d) governance and risk mitigation (export controls, data governance, and ethics). The empirical sections map existing India–Russia programs onto this framework and identify gaps and leverage points.

3. Empirical Mapping: Existing Architecture and Youth Platforms

3.1 Bilateral S&T Roadmaps and Joint Research Calls

India and Russia have an institutional toolkit for S&T cooperation: periodic bilateral roadmaps, ministry-level MOUs, joint research funding mechanisms, and collaborative thematic priorities. Notably, the DST–RSF Joint Research Call (2024) explicitly included Artificial Intelligence among prioritized topics — signaling formal recognition that AI is a bilateral priority area across both civilian research and applied innovation. Such joint calls offer grant-based support to collaborative teams and are a primary conduit for institutionalized technology transfer via co-authored research, shared prototypes, and mobility grants.

3.2 Innovation Hubs and Skolkovo Outreach

The Skolkovo Innovation Center (a Russian cluster analogous to a tech park) has undertaken business missions to India and has shown intent to establish stronger cross-border ties, including representative outreach and joint entrepreneurship initiatives. Such platforms are instrumental in bridging startup ecosystems and enabling translational pathways from lab prototypes to marketable products. Skolkovo's business missions and Russia-based tech consortia are natural partners for Indian incubators seeking domain access in AI, advanced materials, and telecoms.

3.3 Youth Science and Innovation Fora (BRICS and National)

BRICS Young Scientist Forum and BRICS Young Innovator Prize provide institutionalized platforms where early-career researchers and entrepreneurs meet, pitch, and build consortia. These fora emphasize translational research and recognize young innovators — a practical seedbed for bilateral projects where youth teams can form cross-border ventures with institutional endorsement. The BRICS youth architecture has increasingly highlighted AI governance and shared technological development as thematic pillars, creating a multilateral staging ground for India–Russia youth collaboration.

3.4 National Innovation Missions and Educational Partnerships

India's Atal Innovation Mission (AIM) and Russia's Sirius Educational Foundation have signed MOUs in the past to promote student exchanges and innovation. Such linkages between national innovation missions create room for curricular collaboration, joint hackathons, and youth incubator twinning — low-cost, high-impact mechanisms for iterative technology transfer that combine upskilling with project-based collaboration.

4. Case Studies: What Works and Why

4.1 DST–RSF Joint Research Projects (AI in Health & Smart Cities)

The DST–RSF call is instructive because it bundles funding with joint review and mobility provisions. Projects selected under this umbrella tend to have co-PIs from both countries and require shared workplans, making IP and commercialization a practical rather than hypothetical concern. Successful projects often define stepwise Milestones: open-data model development → pilot deployment in controlled contexts → joint IP agreement → dual-country commercialization strategy. The implication is that funding instruments that build translational milestones into grants materially improve the odds of meaningful technology transfer.

4.2 AIM–Sirius Student Innovation Exchanges

Past AIM–Sirius collaboration shows how youth exchanges focused on problem-solving challenges (e.g., climate-tech, AI for healthcare) generate durable entrepreneurial ties. Participants frequently form startup teams that leverage cross-border complementary strengths: India's software engineering talent and Russia's niche hardware or algorithmic strengths. This pattern underscores the potential multiplier effect when innovation missions prioritize joint prototyping and venture mentorship over token visits.

4.3 Skolkovo–Indian Incubator Linkages

Skolkovo's missions and representative presence in India create opportunities for reverse technology transfer — Russian deep-tech solutions adapted to Indian market needs. When incubators co-run accelerator cohorts with matched problem statements (e.g., low-cost sensors, AI-driven diagnostics), startups can pilot technologies in India's large, diverse markets while receiving hardware or algorithmic IP from Russian partners. These bilateral incubation pilots constitute pragmatic technology-transfer experiments that circumvent some geopolitical friction by focusing on dual-benefit commercialisation.

5. Barriers and Constraints

5.1 Geopolitical and Sanctions-Related Constraints

Russia's current geopolitical situation has created export-control risks and limited access to high-end GPUs and semiconductor supply chains — key inputs for cutting-edge AI research and model training. Such constraints mean direct transfers of hardware-dependent AI systems face friction; instead, collaboration must emphasize algorithmic exchange, methodology, open-source toolkits, and federated learning approaches that minimize cross-border hardware transfers. International reporting has noted Russia's push toward BRICS-centered AI alliances partly to mitigate such restrictions, offering both an opportunity and a cautionary context for bilateral youth initiatives.

5.2 Divergent Regulatory and Data Governance Regimes

India and Russia differ in regulatory approaches to data protection, cross-border data flows, and

privacy, complicating joint AI projects that rely on sensitive datasets (health, biometrics). Harmonizing data governance (via consent frameworks, anonymization standards, and testbed governance) is a prerequisite for many translational AI projects. Without such alignment, joint pilots risk legal exposure and public distrust.

5.3 Intellectual Property and Commercialization Pathways

Historic S&T cooperation has produced joint papers and basic research, but commercialization has been less systematic. Weak joint IP roadmaps, unclear revenue sharing, and absence of binational technology-transfer offices (TTOs) reduce the incentive for private-sector partners to engage. Youth-led startups especially need clear, affordable IP arrangements to scale.

5.4 Language, Cultural and Capacity Gaps

Operational frictions — language barriers, differing academic cultures, and uneven absorptive capacity among university labs — can slow project timelines. Youth-focused interventions must therefore include language and research-management training, and budget for synchronous project-management support.

6. A Roadmap: Youth-Centred Policy Architecture for Technology Transfer

This section offers a series of concrete, implementable policy instruments and institutional innovations. Each recommendation is designed to be feasible within existing bilateral or multilateral frameworks and to directly address the constraints identified above.

6.1 Joint "Youth Tech Bridge" Grants (Translational Tiered Funding)

Create a jointly funded India–Russia Youth Tech Bridge (YTB) that provides tiered grants: Phase I (proof-of-concept), Phase II (pilot deployment in India or Russia), Phase III (commercialization and market-entry). Grants should require co-leadership by early-career researchers or entrepreneurs from both countries and embed a mandatory IP and commercialization plan developed with TTO support. This model mirrors the DST–RSF grant approach but adds translational milestones and youth leadership quotas.

6.2 Paired Incubator–Accelerator Programs (Skolkovo–AIM Twin Cohorts)

Operationalize incubator twinning: Skolkovo (or Russian regional tech parks) to pair with Indian AIM incubators to run joint acceleration cohorts focused on domain-specific challenges (health AI, precision agriculture, energy systems). Each cohort should include shared mentorship, pilot budgets, and regulatory compliance support. Skolkovo's outreach and AIM's network provide complementary assets for such pilots. (India Mission)

6.3 BRICS Youth AI Governance Pilots

Leverage BRICS youth fora to co-design small-scale AI governance pilots that test cross-border models of federated learning, privacy-preserving computation, and shared model validation. These

pilots can serve as proof points for a BRICS-oriented AI standards architecture while centring youth researchers in policy design. BRICS youth platforms have a track record of promoting such collaborative initiatives, making them a natural scale-up venue. (brics-ysf.org)

6.4 Binational Technology Transfer Offices and IPR Templates

Establish two binational Technology Transfer Offices (one in India, one in Russia) to support YTB projects. These offices would provide standard, low-friction IPR templates, negotiation support, and commercialization services to convert joint research outputs into spinouts or licensed products. Standardized templates reduce transaction costs and are particularly valuable for youth-led teams with limited legal budgets.

6.5 Hardware and Compute Mitigation Strategies

Given constraints on hardware transfer, prioritize software-first approaches: shared algorithmic toolkits, open-source model repositories, federated learning architectures, and cloud-based collaborative platforms hosted in neutral jurisdictions or via BRICS cloud consortia. Such strategies enable collaboration on high-value AI problems without moving restricted hardware.

6.6 Capacity Building: Language, Ethics, and Project Management Bootcamps

Fund biannual bootcamps for participating youth teams focusing on cross-cultural project management, scientific English, research ethics, and regulatory compliance. These reduce operational frictions and create a shared professional culture among youth cohorts.

6.7 Monitoring, Evaluation and Responsible Innovation Metrics

All grants and pilots should incorporate robust monitoring and outcome metrics: joint publications, prototypes, market pilots, jobs created, and governance lessons. Include independent evaluators and public summaries to build transparency and sustain political support.

7. Governance, Risk and Ethical Considerations

Joint AI work needs layered governance. The recommended approach combines ex-ante risk assessments (threat modelling, misuse risk), dynamic oversight by binational advisory boards including ethicists and civil-society representatives, and sunset clauses on sensitive collaborations. Youth participation must be framed by responsible research norms to avoid reputational risks and to ensure compliance with export-control and data-protection regimes. Embedding ethics training into YTB grants and incubator curricula is non-negotiable.

8. Discussion: Strategic Opportunity in a Multipolar Era

India–Russia youth cooperation in technology and AI is strategically timely. For India, diversified sources of technological partnership complement engagements with Western partners and China; for Russia, India offers a large market, software talent, and institutional legitimacy in global South coalitions. Youth-led cooperation can also create durable people-to-people scaffolding that reduces

transactional political volatility. Moreover, multilateral platforms like BRICS can provide normative cover and scale for shared standards and procurement frameworks — especially relevant as Russia explores BRICS-centered AI alliances and India leads certain BRICS youth initiatives. These overlapping currents create both a window of opportunity and a requirement for calibrated policy design. (Reuters)

9. Conclusion and Policy Imperatives

This paper argued that youth diplomacy is a practical and strategic lever to operationalize India–Russia technology transfers in AI and emerging tech. Evidence from joint funding calls, innovation missions, and youth forums demonstrates both existing assets and crucial gaps. To convert potential into durable collaboration requires: (1) translational funding instruments that prioritize joint commercialization and youth leadership; (2) paired incubator and accelerator models that match complementary strengths; (3) BRICS-centred governance pilots to build multilateral standards; (4) binational TTOs and standardized IPR arrangements; and (5) mitigation strategies for hardware and regulatory constraints.

If implemented, these measures would create a resilient, youth-anchored ecosystem that can sustain science diplomacy even amid geopolitical headwinds. Youth are not an add-on to state-level diplomacy; they are the conduits through which enduring, implementable technology transfer — ethically governed and commercially viable — can flow.

***Research Scholar**
IIS (Deemed To Be University)
Jaipur (Raj.)

Reference

1. DST–RSF Joint Research Call for Proposals (2024). Department of Science & Technology, Government of India. (Department of Science and Technology)
2. India–Russia STI Cooperation. Embassy of India to the Russian Federation: "India-Russia S&T Cooperation" (overview and roadmap 2022–27). (Indian Embassy Moscow)
3. Skolkovo Innovation Center: Russia–India outreach and business missions. (Skolkovo press materials). (India Mission)
4. BRICS Young Scientists Forum / BRICS Young Innovator Prize materials (official BRICS YSF website). (brics-ysf.org)
5. Reuters (11 Dec 2024). "Russia teams up with BRICS to create AI alliance, Putin says." (reporting on Russia's interest in BRICS AI alliances and broader AI diplomacy). (Reuters)

6. Atal Innovation Mission & Sirius Foundation MoU press materials (AIM partnerships archive). (TaxTMI)
7. Rao, M.G. & Singh, N. (2005). *The Political Economy of Federalism in India*. Oxford University Press. (for comparative institutional design).
8. Floridi, L., et al. (2018). "AI4People—An Ethical Framework for a Good AI Society." *Minds and Machines*. (for AI governance frameworks).
9. Liu, X., & White, S. (1997). "An empirical study of technology transfer from universities to industry in China." *Journal of Technology Transfer*. (for technology transfer processes).
10. Additional institutional sources: MEA India–Russia Agreements list; Indian Embassy (Moscow) S&T reports. (MEA India)